arXiv:1511.02962v1 [math.PR] 10 Nov 2015

# Uniform Integrability of the OLS Estimators, and the Convergence of their Moments

G. Afendras[*,†] and M. Markatou[‡,§]

[†]Department of Biostatistics,University at Buffalo,Buffalo, NY 14214, USA

[§]Department of Biostatistics and School of Biomedical Sciences, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14214, USA

**Abstract**

The problem of convergence of moments of a sequence of random variables to the moments of its asymptotic distribution is important in many applications. These include the determination of the optimal training sample size in the cross validation estimation of the generalization error of computer algorithms, and in the construction of graphical methods for studying dependence patterns between two biomarkers. In this paper we prove the uniform integrability of the ordinary least square estimators of a linear regression model, under suitable assumptions on the design matrix and the moments of the errors. Further, we prove the convergence of the moments of the estimators to the corresponding moments of their asymptotic distribution, and study the rate of the moment convergence. The canonical central limit theorem corresponds to the simplest linear regression model. We investigate the rate of the moment convergence in canonical central limit theorem proving a sharp improvement of von Bahr's (1965) theorem. Finally, a study of the second and third moments of the ordinary least square estimators elucidates the behavior of the rate of the moment convergence.

*Key words*: Linear regression model · Moment convergence · Rate of convergence · Uniform integrability
*Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)*: 62J05 · 62E20 · 60E15 · 60F05 · 05A10

## 1 Introduction

Regression models play a central role in statistics, for prediction and statistical inference. The most famous and, because of its extensive use, important model is the linear regression model,

$$\boldsymbol{Y} = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{Y} = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)^T$ is the vector of response variables, $X = (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times p}$ is the design matrix, $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{p-1})^T \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is the parameter vector and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)^T$ is the vector of the errors. If $\operatorname{rank}(X) = p$, the Ordinary Lest Square estimator of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \boldsymbol{Y}. \tag{1.2}$$

[*]gafendra@buffalo.edu
[‡]markatou@buffalo.edu

In the sequel we assume that the condition $\text{rank}(X) = p$ is satisfied (it requires that $n > p$).

For the vector of the errors and the design matrix we assume that

$$\text{the errors are independent and identically distributed (iid) with } \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i) = 0, \mathsf{Var}(\varepsilon_i) = \sigma^2, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} X^T X = V^{-1}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $V^{-1}$ is finite and positive definite. Wu's Lemma (see Wu, 1981, p. 510) shows that if (1.4) is satisfied then the following generalized Noether condition holds; that is, $\max_{1\le k\le n} \boldsymbol{x}_k^T (X^T X)^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_k \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$, where $\boldsymbol{x}_k^T$ is the $k$-th row of $X$. Under the assumptions (1.3) and (1.4), it is well known that

$$\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) \xrightarrow{\text{d}} N_p(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 V), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \quad (1.5)$$

Regarding assumption (1.4) on the design matrix we have two options. First, the covariates $\boldsymbol{x}_k^T$ are a known sequence of constant vectors, and thus (1.4) can be checked. Second, the observation vectors of covariates come from a $p$-dimensional distribution $F_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ which has mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{X}} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and finite variance-covariance matrix $\mathfrak{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}} \in \mathbb{R}^{p\times p}$; that is, the vectors $\boldsymbol{x}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_n$ form an iid collection from $F_{\boldsymbol{X}}$. Therefore, $n^{-1} X^T X = n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \boldsymbol{x}_i \boldsymbol{x}_i^T$ is the usual sample estimator of $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{X}^T) = \mathfrak{T}_{\boldsymbol{X}} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{X}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{X}}^T$, which is a $p \times p$ positive definite matrix, say $V^{-1}$. The Strong Law of Large Numbers implies that $n^{-1} X^T X$ converges almost surely to $V^{-1}$, as $n$ tends to infinity. Therefore, (1.4) is a natural assumption, which is generally true under the simple condition that the covariates have finite second order moments.

Let $\{Y_n\}$ be an iid sequence from a distribution $F$ with mean $\mu$ and variance $0 < \sigma^2 < \infty$. The canonical Central Limit Theorem (CLT) says that, the sequence of the standardized averages, $Z_n = \sqrt{n}\frac{\overline{Y}-\mu}{\sigma}$, where $\overline{Y} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n Y_j$, converges in distribution to the standard normal random variable $Z$. This result can be represented as the simplest linear regression model $\boldsymbol{Y} = \mathbf{1}\mu + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, where $\mathbf{1} = (1, \ldots, 1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, under assumption (1.3) (one can easily see that assumption (1.4) is satisfied), since the ordinary least square (OLS) estimator of $\mu$ is $\widehat{\mu} = \overline{Y}$. The rate of convergence of the absolute moments of $Z_n$ to the absolute moments of $Z$ (of order $r$, for specific positive real numbers of $r$) has been studied by various authors (see von Bahr and Esseen, 1965; Bhattacharya and Rao, 1976; Hall, 1978, among others). von Bahr (1965) addressed the problem of the convergence of the moments of $Z_n$ to the corresponding moments of $Z$, and provided their rate of convergence. Specifically, he proved that, if $\mathbb{E}|Y_i|^r < \infty$ for some positive integer $r$, then

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_n^r) - \mathbb{E}(Z^r) = O(n^{-1/2}). \quad (1.6)$$

Afendras and Markatou (2015) study the problem of selecting the optimal value of the training sample size in the cross validation estimation of the generalization error of computer algorithms. When the decision rule is given by a linear regression model and the loss function is the squared error loss, their analysis requires to know the cases in which the moments of the OLS estimators converge to the corresponding moments of their asymptotic distribution, thereby giving rise to the work presented here. Other potential applications of the results presented here pertain to the construction of graphical methods for studying the patterns of dependence between two biomarkers.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 proves the uniform integrability of the OLS estimators, under a natural condition on the moments of the errors. In Section 3 we improve

von Bahr's Theorem, see (1.6), and, in general, we study the rate of convergence of the moments of the OLS estimators to the corresponding moments of their asymptotic distribution. Specifically, we study the rate of convergence of the second and third moments of the OLS estimators. We obtain that, the rate of convergence depends on the power of the moment (even or odd) as well as the design matrix $X$. Section 4 concludes with a short summary and discussion of the results.

## 2 Uniform Integrability of the OLS estimators

In view of (1.5), a natural question arises: *When do the moments of* $\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})$ *converge, as* $n \to \infty$, *to the corresponding moments of its asymptotic distribution?* Proving the uniform integrability of the OLS estimators, answers this question.

Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and let us consider the sequence of random variables (rv's) $\xi_n \doteq \sqrt{n}\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})$. From (1.5), using *delta*-method (see van der Vaart, 1998, p. 25), we have that

$$\xi_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \xi, \text{ as } n \to \infty, \quad \text{where } \xi \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}^T V \boldsymbol{\alpha}). \tag{2.1}$$

We want $\mathbb{E}\,|\xi_n|^r \to \mathbb{E}\,|\xi|^r$, as $n \to \infty$, for some $r > 0$. Obviously, if $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$, the result is trivial; thus, we study the nontrivial cases in which $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \neq \mathbf{0}$. From (1.2), $\xi_n = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \varepsilon_i$, where $b_i = \sqrt{n}\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T(X^TX)^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}_i$. This relation shows that it is required $\mathbb{E}\,|\varepsilon_i|^r < \infty$. On the other hand the relation $\mathbb{E}\,|\xi_n|^r < \infty$ does not imply that $\mathbb{E}\,|\xi_n|^r \to \mathbb{E}\,|\xi|^r$, as $n \to \infty$. The desired convergence of the moments of $\xi_n$ is true if $\{\xi_n\}$ is uniformly integrable (see, e.g., Billingsley, 1995, Theo. 25.12, p. 338). The answer of the preceding moment convergence problem is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let the model be given by* (1.1) *for which* (1.3) *and* (1.4) *hold. If* $R \geq 2$ *is such that* $\mathbb{E}\,|\varepsilon|^R < \infty$, *then for each* $0 < r < R$ *the sequence of rv's* $|\xi_n|^r$ *in* (2.1) *is uniformly integrable; and thus,*

$$\mathbb{E}\,|\xi_n|^r \to \mathbb{E}\,|\xi|^r, \quad \textit{and, if } r \textit{ is a positive integer } \quad \mathbb{E}(\xi_n^r) \to \mathbb{E}(\xi^r), \quad \textit{as } n \to \infty.$$

*Proof.* For $0 < r < 2$, set $\delta = (2 - r)/r > 0$. So,

$$\mathbb{E}\,(|\xi_n|^r)^{1+\delta} = \mathbb{E}\,|\xi_n|^2 = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2\varepsilon_i^2 + 2\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n}\sum b_i b_j \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j\right) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, $b_i^2 = n(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T(X^TX)^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}_i)^2 \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}^T(n(X^TX)^{-1})\boldsymbol{\alpha}\boldsymbol{x}_i^T(X^TX)^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}_i$. So, using the relation $\sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii} = \mathrm{tr}(H) = p$, where $H = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T$ is the hat matrix of the model (see, e.g., Chatterjee and Hadi, 1998),

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 \leq \boldsymbol{\alpha}^T(n(X^TX)^{-1})\boldsymbol{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii} = p\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T(n(X^TX)^{-1})\boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$

Hence, in view of (1.4), $\mathbb{E}\,|\xi_n|^{r+\delta} \leq p\sigma^2\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T(n(X^TX)^{-1})\boldsymbol{\alpha} \to p\sigma^2\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T V\boldsymbol{\alpha} < \infty$. Therefore,

$$0 \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\,|\xi_n|^{r+\delta} \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\,|\xi_n|^{r+\delta} \leq p\sigma^2\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T V\boldsymbol{\alpha} < \infty,$$

from which it follows that $\sup_n \mathbb{E}\,(|\xi_n|^r)^{1+\delta} < \infty$. Thus, the sequence of rv's $|\xi_n|^r$ is uniformly integrable (see, e.g., Billingsley, 1995, p. 338).

For $2 \le r < R$ (only if $R > 2$), set $\delta = (R-r)/r$; so, $\mathbb{E}\,(|\xi_n|^r)^{1+\delta} = \mathbb{E}\,|\xi_n|^R$. An application of Marcinkevich-Zygmund inequality gives

$$\mathbb{E}\,|\xi_n|^R \le C_R\,\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2\varepsilon_i^2\right)^{R/2},$$

where $C_R > 0$ is a positive constant which depends only on $R$. From Minkowski inequality, since $R/2 > 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2\varepsilon_i^2\right)^{R/2} \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2\left(\mathbb{E}\,|\varepsilon_i|^R\right)^{2/R}\right)^{R/2} = \mathbb{E}\,|\varepsilon_i|^R\left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2\right)^{R/2} \le \left(p\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T(n(X^TX)^{-1})\boldsymbol{\alpha}\right)^{R/2}\mathbb{E}\,|\varepsilon|^R.$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}\,|\xi_n|^R \le C_R\left(p\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T(n(X^TX)^{-1})\boldsymbol{\alpha}\right)^{R/2}\mathbb{E}\,|\varepsilon|^R \to C_R\left(p\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T V\boldsymbol{\alpha}\right)^{R/2}\mathbb{E}\,|\varepsilon|^R < \infty.$$

As above, we have that the sequence $|\xi_n|^r$ is uniformly integrable.

Finally, if $r$ is a positive integer ($r < R$), the sequence $\xi_n^r$ is well defined and the fact that $|\xi_n^r| = |\xi_n|^r$ completes the proof. □

Next, we generalize Theorem 2.1 to the $k$-dimensional case. Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_j \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $j = 1,\ldots,k$, and let us consider the random vector $\boldsymbol{\xi}_n$ with components $\xi_{n,j} = \sqrt{n}\boldsymbol{\alpha}_j^T(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})$, $j = 1,\ldots,k$; that is,

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_n \doteq \sqrt{n}A(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}), \quad A = (\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{\alpha}_k)^T.$$

Using *delta*-method, (1.5) gives

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \boldsymbol{\xi}, \text{ as } n \to \infty, \quad \text{where } \boldsymbol{\xi} \sim N_k(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 AVA^T). \tag{2.2}$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let the linear regression model be given by* (1.1) *for which* (1.3) *and* (1.4) *hold; and let* $\mathbb{E}\,|\varepsilon|^R < \infty$ *for some* $R \ge 2$. *Then, for each* $r_1,\ldots,r_k > 0$ *such that* $r_1,\ldots,r_k < R$ *the sequence of rv's* $\prod_{j=1}^k |\xi_{n,j}|^{r_j}$ *is uniformly integrable [*$\xi_{n,j}$ *as in* (2.2)*]; and thus,*

$$\mathbb{E}\prod_{j=1}^k |\xi_{n,j}|^{r_j} \to \mathbb{E}\prod_{j=1}^k |\xi_j|^{r_j}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

*where* $\xi_j$ *are the components of* $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ *in* (2.2)*; and if* $r_1,\ldots,r_k$ *are positive integers*

$$\mathbb{E}\prod_{j=1}^k \xi_{n,j}^{r_j} \to \mathbb{E}\prod_{j=1}^k \xi_j^{r_j}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

*Proof.* Set $r = r_1 + \cdots + r_k < R$, $p_j = \frac{R}{r_j + \frac{R-r}{k}}$, $j = 1,\ldots,k$, and $\delta = \frac{R-r}{2kr_{\max}} > 0$, where $r_{\max} = \max_{j=1,\ldots,k}\{r_j\}$. Observe that $p_j > 1$ for all $j = 1,\ldots,k$ and $p_1 + \cdots + p_k = 1$. Thus, the generalized Hölder inequality, see Cheung (2001), gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\prod_{j=1}^k |\xi_{n,j}|^{r_j}\right|^{1+\delta} \le \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\mathbb{E}\left|\xi_{n,j}\right|^{(1+\delta)r_j p_j}\right)^{1/p_j}.$$

Also, observe that $0 < (1+\delta)r_j p_j = \frac{1+(R-r)/(2kr_{\max})}{1+(R-r)/(kr_j)} R < R$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, k$; thus, Theorem 2.1 shows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left|\xi_{n,j}\right|^{(1+\delta)r_j p_j} = \mathbb{E}\left|\xi_j\right|^{(1+\delta)r_j p_j} < \infty$, since $\xi_j \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}_j^T V \boldsymbol{\alpha}_j)$. So,

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k} \left( \mathbb{E}\left|\xi_{n,j}\right|^{(1+\delta)r_j p_j} \right)^{1/p_j} \to \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left( \mathbb{E}\left|\xi_j\right|^{(1+\delta)r_j p_j} \right)^{1/p_j} < \infty, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

and using the same arguments as in proof of Theorem 2.1, the proof is completed. □

*Remark* 2.1. (a) Theorem 2.2 is important because it generalizes the classical problem of the convergence of moments in the canonical CLT; also, it provides an answer for the approximation of the moments of the OLS estimators in the linear regression analysis, when this is needed by the researchers (see, e.g., Afendras and Markatou, 2015). Specifically, Afendras and Markatou (2015) study the problem of optimal selection of the training sample size in the context of random cross validation (or repeated learning-testing method). Their optimization rule suggests the minimization of variance of the test set error. In the case where the decision rule is based on a linear regression model and the loss function is squared error, the optimization problem has a closed form solution suggesting that the optimal training sample size equals $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, where $n$ is the total sample size, independently of the error's distribution. This result is also generalizable in the case of sufficiently smooth loss functions that can be expressed as functions of the errors. To be able to obtain the aforementioned closed form solution we need the convergence of up to fourth moment to those moments of the asymptotic distribution. For further details see Afendras and Markatou (2015).

(b) Consider the model (1.1) and assume that (1.3) holds. Let now the sequence of random vectors $\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})$ converge in distribution to $F$, as $n \to \infty$, with mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}_F$ and variance-covariance matrix (positive definite) $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_F$, where $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is given by (1.2). If the $r$-th moments of $\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})$ converge to the corresponding moments of $F$, as $n \to \infty$, for some $r \geq 2$ (assuming that $F$ has finite moments of order $r$), then the second order moments of $\sqrt{n}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})$ converge to the second moments of $F$. That is, $n\sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1} \to \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_F$, as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, (1.4) is satisfied with $V^{-1} = \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_F^{-1}$. Consequently, for the convergence of moments of order $r \geq 2$ of the OLS estimators to the corresponding moments of their asymptotic distribution (1.4) is a necessary and sufficient condition.

(c) In modern areas of statistics authors study high-dimensional regression models; that is, the cases in which the number of covariates is a function of sample size, $p = p_n$, and $p_n >> n$. This framework is totally different from the one studied in this paper; for example, (1.2) is not valid. Also, the limiting vector of the parameters is infinite-dimensional. Therefore, in this framework both, the problem statement and its analysis, must be modified.

(d) Finally, even in the case in which $p = p_n$, and $n >> p_n$, the moment convergence problem that is studied in this section requires the limiting distribution to have a finite dimension. Then, it is obvious that there exists $n_0$ such that $p_n = p_{n_0}$ for all $n \geq n_0$; this case asymptotically is exactly the same with the case presented of this section.

## 3 On the rate of convergence

In this section we study the rate of convergence of the moment convergence that is presented in Theorem 2.1.

We note that von Bahr's (1965) results are obtained using the properties of the Fourier-Stieltjes transform (see von Bahr, 1965, Lemmas 1–3) of a function. These results apply to both integer type powers $k$, even and odd (see von Bahr, 1965, Theorem 1), of the standardized average. This method of proof allows one to obtain only the weaker result, that is, the root $n$ rate of convergence. We use a combinatorial method of proof to first obtain exact expressions of the moments of the standardized average. Then, based on these expressions, further combinatorial arguments allow one to study the rate of convergence of moments separately for even and odd powers $k$. This is done because it is unclear how the von Bahr's method can be modified to apply, even if exact expressions of the even and odd moments are know.

We start with the simplest case of the canonical CLT. Let $Y_n$ be an iid collection of rv's from a distribution $F$ with mean $\mu$ and variance $0 < \sigma^2 < \infty$. The sequence of the standardized averages $Z_n \doteq \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}$, where $S_n \doteq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{Y_j-\mu}{\sigma} \doteq \sum_{j=1}^n W_j$, converges in law to the standard normal random variable $Z$. Assume that $\mathbb{E}|Y_j|^r$ is finite for some integer $r \geq 2$. It is well known that $S_n^r = \sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_n \in \mathbb{N}, j_1+\cdots+j_n=r} \binom{r}{j_1,\ldots,j_n} \prod_{i=1}^n W_i^{j_i}$, where $\binom{r}{j_1,\ldots,j_n} = \frac{r!}{j_1!\cdots j_n!}$, gives $\mathbb{E}(S_n^r) = \sum_{j_1,\ldots,j_n \in \mathbb{N}, j_1+\cdots+j_n=r} \binom{r}{j_1,\ldots,j_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(W_i^{j_i}\right)$ due to the independence of the $Y$'s. In a product $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(W_i^{j_i}\right)$, if $j_{i_0} = 0$ for some index $i_0$, then this index does not affect both the product and its multinomial coefficient, and thus, it can be omitted. Due to $Y_i$ being identically distributed, each $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left(W_i^{j_i}\right)$ is of the form $\prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}\left(W_i^{j_i}\right)$ for $1 \leq m \leq \min\{r, n\}$ and $2 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_m \leq r$ such that $j_1 + \cdots + j_m = r$ (note that we are interested in the case $n \to \infty$, so assume that $n > r$). Under the above observations, we define

$$\boldsymbol{J}(r) \doteq \left\{ \boldsymbol{j}_m \mid m \in \{1, \ldots, [r/2]\},\ \boldsymbol{j}_m = (j_1, \ldots, j_m)^T \in \mathbb{N}^m \colon \begin{array}{c} 2 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_m, \\ j_1 + \cdots + j_m = r \end{array} \right\},$$

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the integer part function. It is obvious that $\mathrm{card}(\boldsymbol{J}(r)) < \infty$. For each $\boldsymbol{j}_m \in \boldsymbol{J}(r)$ we denote

$$E_{\boldsymbol{j}_m} \doteq \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}\left(Y_i^{j_i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore, the expected value $\mathbb{E}(S_n^r)$ is of the form

$$\mathbb{E}(S_n^r) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{j}_m \in \boldsymbol{J}(r)} c_{\boldsymbol{j}_m}(r) E_{\boldsymbol{j}_m}.$$

The number $m$ is called *length* of $\boldsymbol{j}_m$, and the coefficient $c_{\boldsymbol{j}_m}(r)$ which corresponds to the maximum length is called *leading coefficient*. For the computation of $c_{\boldsymbol{j}_m}(r)$ we define $j_1^* < \ldots < j_{m^*}^*$ to be the distinct powers of $j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_m$, where $m^* \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ is the number of the distinct powers, and

$$d_k \doteq \{\text{the multiplicity of } j_k^* \text{ in } \boldsymbol{j}_m\}, \quad k = 1, \ldots, m^*.$$

Note that $m^*$, $j_1^* < \ldots < j_{m^*}^*$, $d_1 < \ldots < d_{m^*}$ are determined by $\boldsymbol{j}_m$. Using standard combinatoric arguments, for $n > r$,

$$c_{\boldsymbol{j}_m}(r) = \frac{\binom{r}{\boldsymbol{j}_m}}{d_1! \cdots d_{m^*}!} (n)_m, \quad \text{where } \binom{r}{\boldsymbol{j}_m} = \frac{r!}{j_1! \cdots j_m!} \text{ and } (n)_m = \frac{n!}{(n-m)!}. \tag{3.1}$$

Observe that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(n)_m}{n^m} = 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Now we are in a position to state and prove the following theorem, which improves von Bahr's Theorem, see (1.6).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let $Y_n$ be an iid sequence of rv's from a distribution $F$ with mean $\mu$ and variance $0 < \sigma^2 < \infty$. If $\mathbb{E}|Y|^R < \infty$ for some integer $R \geq 2$, then for any positive integer $r \leq R$,*

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_n^r) - \mathbb{E}(Z^r) = \begin{cases} O(n^{-1}), & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ O(n^{-1/2}), & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

*where $Z_n$ is the sequence of the standardized averages of $Y$'s and $Z$ is the standard normal random variable. Specifically, if $r = 2k \leq R$ or $r = 2k+1 \leq R$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty} n\Big(\mathbb{E}(Z_n^{2k}) - (2k-1)!!\Big) = k(k-1)(2k-1)!!\left(\frac{1}{3\sigma^4}\mu_4 + \frac{(k-2)}{9\sigma^6}\mu_3^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right), \\ &\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt{n}\,\mathbb{E}(Z_n^{2k+1}) = \frac{k(2k+1)(2k-1)!!}{3\sigma^3}\mu_3, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

*where $(2k-1)!! = 1\times 3\times\cdots\times(2k-1)$ is the double factorial function and $\mu_\nu$ denotes the $\nu$-th central moment of $Y$'s.*

*Proof.* Observe that $\mathbb{E}(Z_n^r) = n^{-r/2}\,\mathbb{E}(S_n^r)$. If $r$ is even, $r = 2k$, the leading coefficient corresponds to $m = k$, $\boldsymbol{j}_k = (2,\ldots,2)^T \in \mathbb{N}^k$, $m^* = 1$, $j_1^* = 2$, $d_1 = k$, $E_{\boldsymbol{j}_k} = 1$. So,

$$c_{\boldsymbol{j}_k}(2k) = \frac{(2k)!}{2^k}(n)_k = (2k-1)!!(n)_k.$$

The second leading coefficients, the coefficients with length $m = k-1$, correspond to $\boldsymbol{j}_{k-1}^{(1)} = (2,\ldots,2,4)^T \in \mathbb{N}^{k-1}$, $m^* = 2$, $j_1^* = 2$, $j_2^* = 4$, $d_1 = k-2$, $d_2 = 1$, $E_{\boldsymbol{j}_k^{(1)}} = \mu_4/\sigma^4$; and to $\boldsymbol{j}_{k-1}^{(2)} = (2,\ldots,2,3,3)^T \in \mathbb{N}^{k-1}$, $m^* = 2$, $j_1^* = 2$, $j_2^* = 3$, $d_1 = k-3$, $d_2 = 2$, $E_{\boldsymbol{j}_k^{(2)}} = \mu_3^2/\sigma^6$. Thus,

$$c_{\boldsymbol{j}_{k-1}^{(1)}}(2k) = \frac{(2k-1)!!k(k-1)}{3}(n)_{k-1}, \quad c_{\boldsymbol{j}_{k-1}^{(2)}}(2k) = \frac{(2k-1)!!k(k-1)(k-2)}{9}(n)_{k-1},$$

In view of (3.1) and (3.2), $c_{\boldsymbol{j}_m}(2k) = o(n^{k-1})$ for all $m < k-1$ (if any). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(S_n^{2k}) - (2k-1)!!n^k &= (2k-1)!!\left\{[(n)_k - n^k] + \tfrac{k(k-1)}{3}\left(\tfrac{\mu_4}{\sigma^4} + \tfrac{(k-2)\mu_3^2}{3\sigma^6}\right)(n)_{k-1}\right\} + o(n^{k-1}) \\ &= O(n^{k-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_n^{2k}) - \mathbb{E}(Z^{2k}) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(S_n^{2k}) - (2k-1)!!n^k}{n^k} = \frac{O(n^{k-1})}{n^k} = O(n^{-1}).$$

If $r$ is odd, $r = 2k+1$, the leading coefficient corresponds to $m = k$, $\boldsymbol{j}_k = (2,\ldots,2,3)^T \in \mathbb{N}^k$, $m^* = 2$, $j_1^* = 2$, $j_2^* = 3$, $d_1 = k-1$, $d_2 = 1$, $E_{\boldsymbol{j}_k} = \mu_3/\sigma^3$. So,

$$c_{\boldsymbol{j}_k}(2k+1) = \frac{k(2k+1)(2k-1)!!}{3}(n)_k = O(n^k). \tag{3.5}$$

In view of (3.1) and (3.2), $c_{\boldsymbol{j}_m}(2k+1) = o(n^k)$ for all $m < k$; and thus,

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_n^{2k+1}) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(S_n^{2k+1})}{n^k n^{-1/2}} = \frac{c_{\boldsymbol{j}_k}(2k+1)\mu_3/\sigma^3 + o(n^k)}{n^k n^{-1/2}} = \frac{O(n^k)}{n^k n^{-1/2}} = O(n^{-1/2}).$$

Finally, after some algebra (3.3) follows by (3.4), (3.5) completing the proof. □

*Remark* 3.1. Relation (3.3) shows that the rate of convergence presented in Theorem 3.1 cannot be improved; except, under conditions on the moments of the distribution of $Y$'s. For example, if the distribution of $Y$'s is symmetric, $\mathbb{E}(Z_n^r) = 0$ for each odd integer $r$ with $\mathbb{E}|Y_i|^r < \infty$.

*Remark* 3.2. Let $Y_n$ be iid rv's from $F$ with mean $\mu$, variance $0 < \sigma^2 < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}|Y|^a < \infty$ for all $a > 0$. Theorem 3.1 shows that $\mathbb{E}(Z_n^r) \to \mathbb{E}(Z^r)$ for all $r = 1, 2, \ldots$, where $Z_n$ is the sequence of the standardized averages and $Z$ is a standard normal random variable. Since the distribution of $Z$ is uniquely determined by its moments, it follows that $Z_n \xrightarrow{\text{d}} Z$ (see, e.g., van der Vaart, 1998, Theo. 2.22, p. 18). This is a weak edition of CLT. For results of this type in a more general format, one can see Ferger (2014), Billingsley (1995, pp. 391–392).

Next, we investigate the rate of convergence of the moment convergence of the OLS estimators presented in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. In view of Theorem 3, we are led to seek a sequence $\alpha_n$ (if it exists) such that $\alpha_n \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$, for which $\mathbb{E}(\xi_n^r) - \mathbb{E}(\xi^r) = O(\alpha_n^{-1})$ when $r$ is even or $r$ is odd, where $\xi_n$ and $\xi$ are defined as in Theorem 2.1. Since the canonical CLT is a special case of the linear regression model that satisfies the conditions (1.3) and (1.4), the sequence $\alpha_n$ cannot be faster than $n$ for even values of $r$ and than $n^{1/2}$ for odd values of $r$, see Theorem 2.1. Therefore, for even values of $r$ it is required that $\alpha_n = O(n)$ and for odd values of $r$ that $\alpha_n = O(n^{1/2})$. Note that the sequences $n^a$ for $0 < a \leq 1$ (or $0 < a \leq 1/2$), $\log n$, $n^a \log n$ for $0 < a \leq 1$ (or $0 < a \leq 1/2$), $\log\log n$ ect, that are commonly used in the rate of convergence are as the above. And, since the rate of convergence investigates the limit behavior of the expected values, without loss of generality we assume that $\alpha_n > 0$ for all $n$. [The sequence $\alpha_n$ goes to infinity. Thus, there exists $n_0$ such that $\alpha_n > 0$ for all $n \geq n_0$. If $\alpha_n$ takes non positive values for some values of $n$, set $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n_0-1}$ to be $\alpha_{n_0}$.]

Proposition 3.1 shows that there does not exist a sequence as above that determines the rate of the moment convergence of the OLS estimators of the linear models for even values of $r$. Proposition 3.2 shows that there does not exist a sequence of the type $n^a$, $a \in (0, 1/2)$, that determines the rate of the moment convergence of the OLS estimators of the linear models for odd values of $r$.

*Definition* 3.1. For a fixed $R \geq 2$, we define $\mathcal{LM}(R)$ to be the family of linear models containing models of the form (1.1) such that (1.3), (1.4) hold and $\mathbb{E}|\varepsilon_i|^R < \infty$.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let $R > 2$ be fixed. Then, there does not exist a sequence $\alpha_n$ with $\alpha_n \to \infty$ and $\alpha_n = O(n)$, as $n \to \infty$, that determines the rate of the moment convergence for all even moments $r = 2k < R$ and for all models in $\mathcal{LM}(R)$.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove the result for $\alpha_n \to \infty$ and $\alpha_n = o(n)$, as $n \to \infty$. We will prove that such a sequence cannot determine the rate of convergence of the moment convergence not even in the case $r = 2$.

First we study the case $0 < \alpha_n \nearrow \infty$ and $n^{-1}\alpha_n \searrow 0$, as $n \to \infty$. Consider the sequence $\beta_n = n\alpha_n^{-1/2} - (n-1)\alpha_{n-1}^{-1/2}$. Observe that $n\alpha_n^{-1/2} = n\alpha_n^{-1} \times \alpha_n^{1/2}$ increases to infinity, since both sequences $n\alpha_n^{-1}$, $\alpha_n^{1/2}$ are positive and increase to infinity. Thus, $\beta_n$ is nonnegative [additionally, observe that $0 \leq \beta_n \leq n\alpha_{n-1}^{-1/2} - (n-1)\alpha_{n-1}^{-1/2} = \alpha_{n-1}^{-1/2} \searrow 0$, as $n \to \infty$]. Now, consider the model $Y_i = (1+\beta_i)^{1/2}\mu + \varepsilon_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where the errors $\varepsilon_i$ satisfy (1.3). Then,

$$\frac{1}{n}X^TX = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(1+\beta_i) = \frac{n + n\alpha_n^{1/2}}{n} = 1 + \alpha_n^{-1/2} \searrow 1, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Applying (1.5), $\xi_n = \sqrt{n}(\widehat{\mu} - \mu) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \xi \overset{\mathrm{d}}{=} N(0, \sigma^2)$, where $\widehat{\mu}$ is the OLS estimator of $\mu$. Writing $\xi_n = \frac{1}{n^{1/2}\left(1+\alpha_n^{-1/2}\right)} \sum_{i=1}^n (1+\beta_i)^{1/2}\varepsilon_i$, we get $\mathbb{E}(\xi_n^2) = \mathsf{Var}(\xi_n) = \frac{1}{n\left(1+\alpha_n^{-1/2}\right)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (1+\beta_i)\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{1+\alpha_n^{-1/2}}\sigma^2 = \sigma^2 - \frac{\alpha_n^{-1/2}}{1+\alpha_n^{-1/2}}\sigma^2$. Hence,

$$\alpha_n(\,\mathbb{E}(\xi_n^2) - \mathbb{E}(\xi^2)) = -\frac{\alpha_n^{1/2}}{1+\alpha_n^{-1/2}}\sigma^2 \to -\infty, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

Therefore, $\alpha_n$ cannot determine the rate of the moment convergence for all even moments $r = 2k < R$ and for all models in $\mathcal{LM}(R)$.

Let now $\alpha_n \to \infty$ and $n^{-1}\alpha_n \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. Then, there exists a subsequence $\alpha_{k_n}$ of $\alpha_n$ such that $0 < \alpha_{k_n} \nearrow \infty$. The subsequence $k_n^{-1}\alpha_{k_n}$ of $n^{-1}\alpha_n$ has positive terms and $k_n^{-1}\alpha_{k_n} \to 0$. Thus, there exists another subsequence $l_{k_n}^{-1}\alpha_{l_{k_n}}$ of $k_n^{-1}\alpha_{k_n}$ such that $l_{k_n}^{-1}\alpha_{l_{k_n}} \searrow 0$ (obviously, $\alpha_{l_{k_n}} \nearrow \infty$). Set $l_{k_0} = 0$ with $\alpha_{l_{k_0}} = 0$, and define the sequence $\widetilde{\alpha}_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$, as

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_i \doteq \begin{cases} \alpha_i, & \text{for } i = l_{k_n}, \\ \dfrac{\alpha_{l_{k_{n+1}}} - \alpha_{l_{k_n}}}{l_{k_{n+1}} - l_{k_n}} i + \dfrac{\alpha_{l_{k_n}} l_{k_{n+1}} - \alpha_{l_{k_{n+1}}} l_{k_n}}{l_{k_{n+1}} - l_{k_n}}, & \text{for } l_{k_n} < i < l_{k_{n+1}} \text{ (if any).} \end{cases}$$

By the construction of $\widetilde{\alpha}_n$, $0 < \widetilde{\alpha}_n \nearrow \infty$ and $n^{-1}\widetilde{\alpha}_n \searrow 0$. Assume the model $Y_i = (1+\beta_i)^{1/2}\mu + \varepsilon_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $\beta_n = n\widetilde{\alpha}_n^{-1/2} - (n-1)\widetilde{\alpha}_{n-1}^{-1/2}$, under (1.3). From the above analysis we get

$$\alpha_{l_{k_n}}\left(\mathbb{E}\,(\xi_{l_{k_n}}^2) - \mathbb{E}(\xi^2)\right) = \widetilde{\alpha}_{l_{k_n}}\left(\mathbb{E}\,(\xi_{l_{k_n}}^2) - \mathbb{E}(\xi^2)\right) \to -\infty, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

completing the proof. □

In view of Proposition 3.1, the following example investigates the case of the third moment.

*Example* 3.1. Let $x_n$ be a convergent sequence of real numbers, $x_n \to c \neq 0$, as $n \to \infty$. Consider the model $Y_i = x_i\mu + \varepsilon_i$, where the errors $\varepsilon_i$ satisfy (1.3) and, in additional, $\mathbb{E}\,|\varepsilon_i|^3 < \infty$ with $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i^3) = \mu_3$. Then,

$$\frac{1}{n}X^TX = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \to c^2, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

since $x_n^2 \to c^2$ and $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ is the sequence of the Cesàro averages of $x_n^2$ (see, e.g., Hardy, 2000, pp. 100–102). Applying (1.5), $\xi_n = \sqrt{n}(\widehat{\mu} - \mu) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \xi \overset{\mathrm{d}}{=} N(0, c^2\sigma^2)$, where $\widehat{\mu}$ is the OLS estimator of $\mu$. Writing $\xi_n = \frac{n^{1/2}}{X^TX}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\varepsilon_i$, we get $\mathbb{E}(\xi_n^3) = \frac{n^{3/2}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{(X^TX)^3}\mu_3$. Thus,

$$\sqrt{n}\,\mathbb{E}(\xi_n^3) = \frac{n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{(n^{-1}X^TX)^3}\mu_3 \to \frac{c^3}{(c^2)^3}\mu_3 = \frac{\mu_3}{c^3}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

since $n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3$ is the sequence of the Cesàro averages of $x_n^3$, and $x_n^3 \to c^3$. Therefore, for each model such that the rate of convergence of the third moment is the same as in the canonical CLT.

In view of Example 3.1, one can falsely conclude that, in general, the moment convergence of the third order (or that of the odd moments) of Theorem 2.1 has the rate $n^{1/2}$. Proposition 3.2 shows that this conclusion is false.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let $R$ be fixed, $R > 3$. Then, there does not exist $a \in (0, 1/2)$ such that the sequence $n^a$ determines the rate of the moment convergence for all odd moments $r = 2k + 1 < R$ and for all models in $\mathcal{LM}(R)$.*

*Proof.* Let $a \in (0, 1/2)$ be fixed. Set $b = (1 - 2a)/a > 0$ and consider the model $Y_i = x_i\mu + \varepsilon_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where the errors satisfy (1.3), $\mu_3 = \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i^3) \neq 0$ and the covariate is defined by

$$x_i = \begin{cases} k^{b/2}, & if\ i = \lfloor k^{b+1} \rfloor, \\ 0\ , & if\ otherwise, \end{cases} \qquad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,$$

where $\lfloor t \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of $t$. By construction, $X^T X = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}:\ k \leq n^{1/(b+1)}} k^b$; and thus,

$$\frac{\lfloor n^{1/(b+1)} \rfloor^{b+1}}{b+1} = \int_0^{\lfloor n^{1/(b+1)} \rfloor} t^b \, \mathrm{d}\, t \leq X^T X \leq \int_0^{\lfloor n^{1/(b+1)} \rfloor + 1} t^b \, \mathrm{d}\, t = \frac{\left( \lfloor n^{1/(b+1)} \rfloor + 1 \right)^{b+1}}{b+1}.$$

We calculate the limits $\lim_n \frac{\lfloor n^{1/(b+1)} \rfloor^{b+1}}{n} = \lim_n \left( \frac{\lfloor n^{1/(b+1)} \rfloor}{n^{1/(b+1)}} \right)^{b+1} = \lim_{u \to \infty} \left( \frac{\lfloor u \rfloor}{u} \right)^{b+1} = 1$; and, similarly, $\lim_n \frac{\left( \lfloor n^{1/(b+1)} \rfloor + 1 \right)^{b+1}}{n} = 1$. Therefore, (1.4) holds; specifically,

$$X^T X \approx \frac{n}{b+1}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

where $a_n \approx b_n$ means that $\lim_n \frac{a_n}{b_n} = 1$. Applying (1.5), $\xi_n = \sqrt{n}(\widehat{\mu} - \mu) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \xi \overset{\mathrm{d}}{=} N(0, \sigma^2/(b+1))$, where $\widehat{\mu}$ is the OLS estimator of $\mu$. The third moment of $\xi_n$ is $\mathbb{E}(\xi_n^3) = \frac{n^{3/2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3}{(X^T X)^3} \mu_3$, see Example 3.1. Using the same arguments as above, we prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3 \approx \frac{2n^{\frac{3b+2}{2b+2}}}{3b+2}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus, by substitution $b = (1 - 2a)/a$,

$$n^a \, \mathbb{E}(\xi_n^3) \approx \frac{2(1-a)^3 \mu_3}{a^2(3-4a)} n^{\frac{a(3-4a)}{4(1-a)}}, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Therefore, if $\mu_3 < 0$, $\lim_n n^a \, \mathbb{E}(\xi_n^3) = -\infty$; and if $\mu_3 > 0$, $\lim_n n^a \, \mathbb{E}(\xi_n^3) = \infty$, completing the proof. □

## 4 Discussion

In this paper we first prove the uniform integrability of the sequence $|\xi_n|^r$, where $\xi_n$ is defined by (2.1). The uniform integrability of this sequence of the OLS estimators guarantees the convergence of the moments of the rv's $\xi_n$ to the moments of the limiting rv $\xi$. Next, we generalize this result for a sequence of random vectors with components defined as linear combinations of the components of the OLS estimators of a linear model.

We also present a sharp improvement of von Bahr's theorem that pertains to the rate of convergence of the moments of $Z_n$, the sequence of the standardized averages, to the corresponding

moments of the limiting random variable $Z$. This case presents the simplest linear model where $Y_i = \mu + \varepsilon_i$, $i = 1, 2 \ldots, n$. A difficult and open problem is the determination of the impact of the design matrix $X$ on the rate of the moment convergence of $\xi_n$. In the aforementioned simplest case we showed that the rate of convergence of the moment sequences depends on whether the moment power $r$ is even or odd. In view of Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 it is clear that we can find a sequence of design matrices satisfying (1.4) such that the rate of convergence of the second moment of $\xi_n$ of Theorem 2.1 is arbitrarily slow. Further, we can find a sequence of design matrices satisfying (1.4) such that the rate of convergence of the third moment of $\xi_n$ is slower than $n^a$, for any given $a \in (0, 1/2)$. These results have potential practical applications as, for example, discussed in Afendras and Markatou (2015).

## References


Afendras G, Markatou M (2015) Optimality of Training/Test Size and Resampling Effectiveness of Cross-Validation Estimators of the Generalization Error. *Technical Report, Dept of Biostatistics, University at Buffalo.*

Bhattacharya RN, Rao RR (1976) *Normal approximation and asymptotic expansions.* Wiley, New York.

Billingsley P (1995) *Probability and Measure.* Third Edition. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics.

Chatterjee S, Hadi AS (1988) *Sensitivity Analysis in Linear Regression.* John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Cheung W-S (2001) Generalizations of Hölder's inequality. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **26**(1):7–10.

Cramér H (1946) *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*. Princeton University Press, 19th Printing.

Ferger D (2014) Moment equalities for sums of random variables via integer partitions and Fa di Bruno's formula. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, **38**(3):558–575.

Hall P (1978) On the rate of convergence of moments in the central limit theorem. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society (Series A)*, **25**(02):250–256.

Hardy GH (2000) *Divergent series* (Vol. 334). American Mathematical Soc., Chicago.

Isserlis L (1918) On a formula for the product-moment coefficient of any order of a normal frequency distribution in any number of variables. *Biometrika*, **12**:134–139.

Lehmann EL (1983) *Theory of Point Estimation.* Wiley & Sons.

van der Vaart AW (1998) *Asymptotic Statistics*. Cambrige University Press, New York.

von Bahr B (1965) On the convergence of moments in the central limit theorem. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **36**:808–818.

von Bahr B, Esseen CG (1965) Inequalities for the $r$ th Absolute Moment of a Sum of Random Variables, $1 \leq r \leq 2$. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, **36**(1):299–303.

Wu C-F (1981) Asymptotic Theory of Nonlinear Least Squares Estimation. *Ann. Statist.*, **9**(3):501–513.